

7/20/69

Dear Paul,

Further ref to your 7/14:

1) in part already answered. Reference to contents of then-unpublished books now in limited editions only, some of the documentation, letters and affidavits to nichols, etc.

2) One example is a Liebel letter at Archives. Another is the Specter memos I finally got after they let Thompson have them, but kept me waiting for months. Another that immediately comes to mind is the autopsy sections of ex. sess, memo transfer April 66, Kennedy-family-GSA contract. In some of these cases you have my correspondence. It has also happened with a few witnesses. In some cases by public statements that do get attention, in contradiction to what I am not able to get articulated, and, I suspect, in some cases, people who have been cooperative are approached and turned off.

3) The Nichols material, reported in immediate response.

4) Gary can give you more particulars. It is my recollection that when I spoke to him by phone 10/68 he bragged about his success in doing this. He is careful with people of one kind, like you, careless with others who he sizes up otherwise, as Gary, Fred, etc.

5) Probably reference to Nichols material referred to before and above. To the fairly accurate reflection of the contents and very accurate reflection of the fact, that I had gone far beyond John's request, he added merely nasty cracks attributed to John, who has said exactly the opposite, for what I added pleases him and his lawyer,

There are at least give people with whom I had had close and very friendly working relationships all of whom turned off simultaneously, all without explanation or response to later letters, all known to be in touch with him, and all at the same time, coinciding with the beginning of his larger campaign.

I have Bud's file of clippings on the King murder - have to finish with to return Tuesday, so I'm pressed more for time. I hope these are adequate answers.

Sincerely,

8/21/69 PS GAI-SS inventory. I'd like all those things you say at the end but I'd also suggest interest in B-3, where anything Perry said could be valuable. My own thinking is that at the beginning the SS was trying to do what it should have until some of them got the word. I can see no logic to the page or Control numbers (as you point out re: CD371). Do you know anything about the "26 memos of 12/1, 5/63"? I have personal knowledge on which I cannot enlarge that some of the agents wound up disconsolate but terrified to speak. I got word several times.